In re: Roger

Serial No.: 10/569,314 Filed: April 17, 2006

Page 6 of 6

REMARKS

The Action includes an Election of Species Requirement requiring an election from the following species:

Species A:

Figure 3

Species B:

Figure 4

Species C:

Figure 5

Species D:

Figure 6

Species E:

Figure 7

1

1 19.110

Species F:

Figure 8

Species G:

Figure 9

Species H:

Figure 10

Species I:

Figure 11

Applicant hereby elects, without traverse, Species I (Figure 11). Claims 46-51 and 53-62 read on the elected species. Claims 52 has been withdrawn as directed to a non-elected species.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that this application is now in condition for substantive examination, which action is requested. Should the Examiner have any matters outstanding of resolution, he is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at 919-854-1400 for expeditious handling.

Respectfully submitted,

David D. Beatty

Registration No. 38,071

Attorney for Applicants

USPTO Customer No. 20792 Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A.

Post Office Box 37428

Raleigh, North Carolina 27627 Telephone: (919) 854-1400

Facsimile: (919) 854-1401

CERTIFICATION OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with § 1.6(a)(4) to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on June 30, 2009.

Signature:

Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate:

Katie Wu